Inspection report on compliance with HTA licensing standards Inspection date: **22 May 2024** 



# **Chesterfield Royal Hospital**

HTA licensing number 12029

Licensed under the Human Tissue Act 2004

#### Licensed activities

The table below shows the activities this establishment is licensed for and the activities currently undertaken at the establishment.

Area	Making of a post- mortem examination	Removal from the body of a deceased person (otherwise than in the course of an anatomical examination or post-mortem examination) of relevant material of which the body consists or which it contains, for use for a scheduled purpose other than transplantation	Storage of the body of a deceased person or relevant material which has come from a human body for use for a scheduled purpose
Chesterfield Royal Hospital	Licensed	Licensed	Licensed
Mortuary	Carried out	Carried out	Carried out
Pathology lab	-	-	Carried out
A&E	-	Carried out	-

# **Summary of inspection findings**

The HTA found the Designated Individual (DI) and the Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified.

### **Compliance with HTA standards**

All applicable HTA standards have been assessed as fully met.

#### Advice

The HTA advises the DI to consider the following to further improve practice:

Number	Standard	Advice	
1.	T1(g)	Tracker tabs are used within the tissue store to identify when tissue has been removed and disposed. The DI is advised to ensure the use of these is consistent in order to strengthen tissue traceability.	
2.	T1(g)	The DI is advised to consider transferring historical tissue traceability paperwork to an electronic system. This should improve efficiency of future internal tissue traceability audits.	

# **Background**

Chesterfield Royal Hospital has been licensed by the HTA since 2007. This was the fourth inspection of the establishment; the most recent previous inspection took place in January 2022.

Since the previous inspection, there have been no significant changes to the licence arrangements or the activities carried out under the licence.

A decision to undertake a focused announced visit to the hub site was made by the Director of Regulation. This was for assurance that systems in place to maintain tissue traceability are effective following a HTA reportable incident (HTARI). Accordingly, this inspection focused on the following standards: GQ2(c), T1(g), T2(a), T2(b), T2(c), and T2(d).

Description of inspection activities undertaken

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The inspection team covered the following areas during the

inspection:

Visual inspection

The inspection team undertook a visual inspection of the tissue storage areas for both tissue blocks and slides.

Audit of Records

Audits of traceability were conducted for tissue blocks and slides for 15 cases where tissue had been returned to the hospital following an HTA reportable incident regarding loss of traceability. This included audits of consent documentation for the retention of these tissues. No discrepancies were identified for those tissue blocks and slides that were returned and each case was stored in line with the families wishes. Traceability of tissue slides for three historical cases remain lost, and this was reported to the HTA

as a reportable incident in 2023.

A further audit was completed for 42 cases of historical tissue holdings between the years of 2007 and 2022. This included audits of consent documentation for the retention of these tissues. No discrepancies were identified and each case was stored in line with the families wishes.

Meetings with establishment staff

The inspection team met with staff carrying out processes of tissue traceability including the Designated Individual, Deputy Head of Histology.

3

Report sent to DI for factual accuracy: 05 June 2024

Report returned from DI: 05 June 2024

Final report issued: 13 June 2024

### Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity.
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity; and
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent
- governance and quality systems
- traceability
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical,' 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

### Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical,' 'Major' or 'Minor.' Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

#### 1. Critical shortfall:

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions.

or

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence.
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA, and implemented.
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities
- Additional conditions being proposed.
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway.

### 2. Major shortfall:

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act, and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or

has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed.

or

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

#### 3. Minor shortfall:

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk-based review or at the time of the next inspection.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

#### Follow up actions

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with both the draft and final inspection report. Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up inspection
- a request for information that shows completion of actions.
- monitoring of the action plan completion
- follow up at next routine inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.