

Licence application assessment report on compliance with HTA licensing standards  
Assessment date/s: **03 August (site visit) and 28 November 2022 (completion)**



**University of Chester – Bache Hall**  
Proposed HTA licensing number 12745

Application for a licence under the Human Tissue Act 2004

**Activities applied to be licensed**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Storage of relevant material which has come from a human body for use for a scheduled purpose</b>	<b>Removal from the body of a deceased person (otherwise than in the course of an anatomical examination or post-mortem examination) of relevant material of which the body consists or which it contains, for use for a scheduled purpose other than transplantation</b>
<b>University of Chester – Bache Hall</b>	Applied to be licensed	Not applied to be licensed

**Summary of findings**

The HTA found the proposed Designated Individual (DI) and the proposed Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

The HTA found that University of Chester – Bache Hall (the ‘establishment’) had met all of the HTA’s licensing standards.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified.

## Advice

The HTA advises the proposed DI to consider the following to further improve practices:

Number	Standard	Advice
1.	GQ1(e)	The ' <i>Human Material Research Quality Manual (HTA004)</i> ' details the procedure for managing complaints; however, it alludes to complaints relating to activities being carried out under the University's anatomy sector HTA licence. For clarity, the proposed DI is advised make the procedure specific to complaints relating to research activities.
2.	GQ2(a)	The establishment plans to undertake annual audits covering all licensable activities. The proposed DI is advised to add specific dates within the schedule to ensure that they are carried out in accordance with the intended timelines.
3.	GQ6(b)	The establishment has a suite of risk assessments; however, not all risks have been identified. The proposed DI is advised to review the overarching headings in the assessments to ensure that they directly relate to risks. Risks related to traceability for example should include those relating to the loss of sample, loss of traceability, sample mix-up etc.

## Background

The University of Chester – Bache Hall has an expanding medical school which aims to develop and deliver innovative programmes of study alongside medical research. The establishment has applied for a HTA licence for the storage of relevant material, which has come from a human body, for use for the scheduled purpose of 'research in connection with disorders, or the functioning, of the human body'.

## Description of activities undertaken

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The Regulation Manager covered the following areas during a desk-based assessment and site visit.

### *Standards assessed*

All of the 47 HTA licensing standards were covered during the assessment (standards published 3 April 2017).

### *Review of governance documentation*

Policies and procedural documents relating to all licensable activities including overarching policies, standard operating procedures and risk assessments were assessed. Documents detailing the plans for staff training, incident management, governance meetings and audits were reviewed. The establishment's plans for the traceability of material were also assessed.

### *Visual inspection*

The Regulation Manager undertook a visual inspection of the premises which included the laboratory and freezer storage areas.

### *Meetings with establishment staff*

The Regulation Manager met with staff carrying out activities under the licence including a Research Associate, the Executive Dean and the proposed Designated Individual, who is a Senior Lecturer and program lead.

**Report sent to proposed DI for factual accuracy: 16 December 2022**

**Report returned from proposed DI: 21 December 2022**

**Final report issued: 21 December 2022**

## **Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements**

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity, and;
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of site visit inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent;
- governance and quality systems;
- traceability, and;
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

## **Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall**

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor'. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

### **1. Critical shortfall:**

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions

*or*

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence;
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented;
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities;
- Additional conditions being proposed, or;
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway.

### **2. Major shortfall:**

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or;
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or;
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or;

- has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed.

*or*

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

### **3. Minor shortfall:**

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk based review or at the time of the next site visit.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

### **Follow up actions**

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with the final inspection report. Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up site visit inspection;
- a request for information that shows completion of actions;
- monitoring of the action plan completion, or;
- follow up at next routine site visit inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.