

CellPath Ltd

HTA licensing number 12434

Licensed under the Human Tissue Act 2004

Licensed activities

The table below shows the activities this establishment is licensed for and the activities currently undertaken at the establishment.

Area	Making of a post- mortem examination	Removal from the body of a deceased person (otherwise than in the course of an anatomical examination or post-mortem examination) of relevant material of which the body consists or which it contains, for use for a scheduled purpose other than transplantation	Storage of the body of a deceased person or relevant material which has come from a human body for use for a scheduled purpose
Hub site	Not licensed	Not licensed	Licensed
Cell Path Ltd	Not licensed		
Storage Facility			Carried out

Summary of inspection findings

The HTA found the Designated Individual (DI) and the Licence Holder (LH) to be suitable in accordance with the requirements of the legislation.

Although the HTA found that CellPath Ltd ('the establishment') had met the majority of the HTA's standards, one minor shortfall was found against standards for Governance and quality systems.

The HTA has assessed the establishment as suitable to be licensed for the activities specified, subject to corrective and preventative actions being implemented to meet the shortfalls identified during the inspection.

Compliance with HTA standards

Minor Shortfalls

Standard	Inspection findings	Level of shortfall		
GQ6 Risk assessments of the establishment's practices and processes are completed regularly, recorded and monitored				
a) All procedures related to the licensed activities (as outlined in standard GQ1)	Risk assessments of procedures related to licensable activities do not identify all the associated risks:	Minor		
are risk assessed on a regular basis	serious security breach;			
	major equipment failure;			
	loss of organ or tissue;			
	incident leading to unplanned closure; and			
	any incident not listed			
	The establishment submitted sufficient evidence to address this shortfall before the report was finalised.			

The HTA requires the DI to submit a completed corrective and preventative action (CAPA) plan setting out how the shortfalls will be addressed, within 14 days of receipt of the final report (refer to Appendix 2 for recommended timeframes within which to complete actions). The HTA will then inform the establishment of the evidence required to demonstrate that the actions agreed in the plan have been completed.

Advice

The HTA advises the DI to consider the following to further improve practice:

Number	Standard	Advice
1.	GQ4(b)	The majority of the work conducted on site is via electronic systems. The DI is advised to add to the applicable

		procedure(s) how staff should correct errors when paper checklists are used.
2.	T1(g)	The DI is advised to ask for a confirmation of receipt from establishments of the disposal certificate which is sent to confirm appropriate disposal of tissue blocks and slides to increase the robustness of the current procedure.
3.	PFE2(i)	The DI is advised to ensure that the verbal arrangements that have been discussed regarding business continuity are formally documented.
4.	N/A	Although a consent disclaimer letter is sent to establishments stating that it is their responsibility to ensure that appropriate consent has been sought and is in place, the DI is advised to consider adding the disclaimer to the SLA that both parties will sign to increase the robustness of the process.

Background

CellPath Ltd is licensed for the storage of bodies of the deceased and relevant material for use for scheduled purposes.

CellPath Ltd has been licensed by the HTA since 2007. This was the third inspection of the establishment; the most recent previous inspection took place in August 2017.

Since the previous inspection, there have been no significant changes to the licence arrangements or the activities carried out under the licence.

Description of inspection activities undertaken

The HTA's regulatory requirements are set out in Appendix 1. The inspection team covered the following areas during the inspection:

Standards assessed against during inspection

33 standards out of the total 72 are not applicable to the establishment: C1 a-g, C2 a-d, GQ1b and c, T1 a-b and d-f, T2 b, PFE1 b and c, PFE2 a-h, PFE3 b-c and e. The remaining 39 HTA licensing standards (standards published 3 April 2017) were assessed.

Review of governance documentation

The virtual assessment included a review of the establishment's governance documentation relating to licensed activities. This included policies and procedural documents relating to licensed activities, records servicing of equipment, risk assessments, meeting minutes, temperature monitoring for the storage units, reported incidents and staff training records.

Visual inspection

No visual inspection was undertaken as part of this inspection. The establishment provided photographs of the security access to the facility and evidence of the signing in and out procedure for visitors.

Meetings with establishment staff

Staff carrying out processes under the licence were interviewed including the DI, operations manager and logistics lead.

Report sent to DI for factual accuracy: 11 July 2022

Report returned from DI: 4 August 2022

Final report issued: 4 August 2022

Completion of corrective and preventative actions (CAPA) plan

Based on information provided, the HTA is satisfied that the establishment has completed the agreed actions in the CAPA plan and in doing so has taken sufficient action to correct all shortfalls addressed in the Virtual Regulatory Assessment Report.

Date: 27 October 2022

Appendix 1: The HTA's regulatory requirements

Prior to the grant of a licence, the HTA must assure itself that the DI is a suitable person to supervise the activity authorised by the licence and that the premises are suitable for the activity.

The statutory duties of the DI are set down in Section 18 of the Human Tissue Act 2004. They are to secure that:

- the other persons to whom the licence applies are suitable persons to participate in the carrying-on of the licensed activity;
- suitable practices are used in the course of carrying on that activity; and
- the conditions of the licence are complied with.

Its programme of inspections to assess compliance with HTA licensing standards is one of the assurance mechanisms used by the HTA.

The HTA developed its licensing standards with input from its stakeholders. They are designed to ensure the safe and ethical use of human tissue and the dignified and respectful treatment of the deceased. They are grouped under four headings:

- consent
- governance and quality systems
- traceability
- premises facilities and equipment.

This is an exception-based report: only those standards that have been assessed as not met are included. Where the HTA determines that there has been a shortfall against a standard, the level of the shortfall is classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor' (see Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall). Where HTA standards are fully met, but the HTA has identified an area of practice that could be further improved, advice is provided.

HTA inspection reports are published on the HTA's website.

Appendix 2: Classification of the level of shortfall

Where the HTA determines that a licensing standard is not met, the improvements required will be stated and the level of the shortfall will be classified as 'Critical', 'Major' or 'Minor'. Where the HTA is not presented with evidence that an establishment meets the requirements of an expected standard, it works on the premise that a lack of evidence indicates a shortfall.

The action an establishment will be required to make following the identification of a shortfall is based on the HTA's assessment of risk of harm and/or a breach of the Human Tissue Act 2004 (HT Act) or associated Directions.

1. Critical shortfall:

A shortfall which poses a significant risk to human safety and/or dignity or is a breach of the HT Act or associated Directions or

A combination of several major shortfalls, none of which is critical on its own, but which together could constitute a critical shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

A critical shortfall may result in one or more of the following:

- A notice of proposal being issued to revoke the licence
- Some or all of the licensable activity at the establishment ceasing with immediate effect until a corrective action plan is developed, agreed by the HTA and implemented.
- A notice of suspension of licensable activities
- Additional conditions being proposed
- Directions being issued requiring specific action to be taken straightaway

2. Major shortfall:

A non-critical shortfall that:

- poses a risk to human safety and/or dignity, or
- indicates a failure to carry out satisfactory procedures, or
- indicates a breach of the relevant Codes of Practice, the HT Act and other relevant professional and statutory guidelines, or

has the potential to become a critical shortfall unless addressed

or

A combination of several minor shortfalls, none of which is major on its own, but which, together, could constitute a major shortfall and should be explained and reported as such.

In response to a major shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 1-2 months of the issue of the final inspection report. Major shortfalls pose a higher level of risk and therefore a shorter deadline is given, compared to minor shortfalls, to ensure the level of risk is reduced in an appropriate timeframe.

3. Minor shortfall:

A shortfall which cannot be classified as either critical or major, but which indicates a departure from expected standards.

This category of shortfall requires the development of a corrective action plan, the results of which will usually be assessed by the HTA either by desk based review or at the time of the next inspection.

In response to a minor shortfall, an establishment is expected to implement corrective and preventative actions within 3-4 months of the issue of the final inspection report.

Follow up actions

A template corrective and preventative action plan will be sent as a separate Word document with both the draft and final inspection report. Establishments must complete this template and return it to the HTA within 14 days of the issue of the final report.

Based on the level of the shortfall, the HTA will consider the most suitable type of follow-up of the completion of the corrective and preventative action plan. This may include a combination of

- a follow-up inspection
- a request for information that shows completion of actions
- monitoring of the action plan completion
- follow up at next routine inspection.

After an assessment of the proposed action plan establishments will be notified of the follow-up approach the HTA will take.